



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/665,115	09/22/2003	Yoshinobu Utsumi	Q77538	5422		
23373	7590	02/08/2008	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			SCHEUERMANN, DAVID W			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT			
SUITE 800			PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2834			
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
02/08/2008		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,115	UTSUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID W. SCHEUERMANN	2834	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3,5,6,8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,4,7 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>12/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761.

Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) shows:

An electric rotating machine for a vehicle (see figure 1) comprising: a rotor core 6 that is fitted to a rotary shaft 30 (via coupling 33 and shaft 12); a stator core 3 that is concentric with said rotor core and disposed on the outside of said

rotor core; and a turning angle detector 20 that is disposed at one shaft end of said rotary shaft;

[wherein said rotary shaft 30 is **wholly** a magnetic flux interrupting means] made of a non-magnetic material, (see paragraph [0029]) for interrupting leakage flux passing onto said rotary shaft as a result of excitation of a rotor coil 10 wound on said rotor core.

Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) does not expressly disclose, the bracketed feature. Momberg, US 2462761 discloses the desirability to form a shaft from a non-magnetic material, for the inherent purpose of isolating magnetic flux from the shaft so as not to interfere with speed sensor, note figures 1-3 and lines 29-39, column 3. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the entire shaft of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) form non-magnetic material as taught by Momberg, US 2462761. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this isolate the flux of the speed sensor.

As to claim 10, note that Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) refers to rotation angle or position detector 20 in the abstract, which is functionally equivalent to a resolver.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 in view of Kitazawa, JP 09065617. The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 discloses the invention substantially as claimed as set forth in the rejection of claim 1, supra. The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 does not expressly disclose, "...wherein a high-permeability magnetic bypass member is disposed between said rotor core and said turning angle detector." Kitazawa, JP 09065617 discloses a magnetic bypass member is disposed between said magnetic core and said turning angle detector, for the inherent purpose of improving signal strength by reducing interference. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to place a high-permeability magnetic bypass member between the rotor core, which contains a magnetic core, and the turning angle detector or resolver in Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this improve the signal strength and reduce noise in the resolver by reducing stray magnetic fields.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR

TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 in view of Maestre, US 5300884. The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 discloses the invention substantially as claimed as set forth in the rejection of claim 1, supra. The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 does not expressly disclose, "...wherein said turning angle detector is a resolver having corrugations formed on a curved outer surface of said resolver." Maestre, US 5300884 discloses a resolver having corrugations formed on a curved outer surface of said resolver, for the inherent purpose of improving signal strength. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a resolver having corrugations formed on a curved outer surface of said resolver in the combination or The combination of Youshinobu, JP 2002084724 (RELYING ON EP 1482625 A1 FOR TRANSLATION) in view of Momberg, US 2462761 and Mimura, JP 02099399. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this improve the signal strength and reduce noise.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID W. SCHEUERMANN whose telephone number is (571)272-2035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached at (571) 272-2044. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David W. Scheuermann/
Examiner, Art Unit 2834
dws
February 9, 2008

/Tamai I.E. Karl/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834
January 30, 2008